





Appendix A

Harmonia^{+PL} – procedure for negative impact risk assessment for invasive alien species and potentially invasive alien species in Poland

QUESTIONNAIRE

A0 | Context

Questions from this module identify the assessor and the biological, geographical & social context of the assessment.

a01. Name(s) of the assessor(s):

first name and family name

- 1. Tomasz Kakareko
- 2. Joanna Grabowska
- 3. Karolina Mazurska

acomm01.	Com	ments:		
		degree	affiliation	assessment date
	(1)	dr hab.	Department of Hydrobiology, Faculty of Biology and Environmental Protection, The Nicolaus Copernicus University, Toruń	25-01-2018
	(2)	dr hab.	Department of Ecology and Vertebrate Zoology, Institute of Ecology and Environmental Protection, Faculty of Biology and Environmental Protection, University of Lodz	25-01-2018
	(3)	mgr	Institute of Nature Conservation of the Polish Academy of Sciences in Cracow	30-01-2018

a02. Name(s) of the species under assessment:

Polish name:	Czebaczek amurski
Latin name:	Pseudorasbora parva (Schlegel, 1842)
English name:	Topmouth gudgeon





Unia Europejska Fundusz Spójności



Współfinansowano w ramach projektu nr POIS.02.04.00-00-0100/16 pn. *Opracowanie zasad kontroli i zwalczania inwazyjnych gatunków obcych wraz z przeprowadzeniem pilotażowych działań i edukacją społeczną ze środków Unii Europejskiej w ramach Programu Infrastruktura i Środowisko 2014-2020*

acomm02.	Comments:	
	Polish name (synonym I)	Polish name (synonym II)
	-	-
	Latin name (synonym I) <i>Leuciscus parvus</i>	Latin name (synonym II) Pseudorasbora altipinna
	English name (synonym I) Stone moroko	English name (synonym II) —

a03. Area under assessment:

Poland

acomm03. Comments:

a04. **Status** of *the species* in Poland. *The species* is:

	native to Poland
	alien, absent from Poland
	alien, present in Poland only in cultivation or captivity
	alien, present in Poland in the environment, not established
Х	alien, present in Poland in the environment, established

aconf01.	Answer provided with a	low	medium	high X	level of confidence
acomm04.	Comments: Topmouth gudgeon is a sp but in almost the entir neighbourhood of fish farr reproducing populations, a w Polsce 2018 - B). It often	ecies that spr re country. I ms, from whe and its numbe p occurs on a	reads across the lts occurrence re it often per r increases (W large scale and	e Polish territ is strongly netrates into c itkowski et al. d becomes a c	ory, distributed locally, correlated with the open waters. It creates 2009 - P, Gatunki obce dominant in fish fauna.

For example, in Ruda Sułowska, in one of fish ponds with an area of approx. 5 ha, 300 kg of topmouth gudgeon was obtained, and in the Sumina River (the Odra basin) several thousand individuals of this species were recorded per 100 m² (Witkowski 2009 - P, Witkowski 2011 - B)

- **a05**. The impact of *the species* on major domains. *The species* may have an impact on:
 - **X** the environmental domain
 - the cultivated plants domain
 - X the domesticated animals domain
 - the human domain
 - the other domains

acomm05. Comments:

The topmouth gudgeon has a negative impact on 2 domains: natural environment and domesticated animals. This influence manifests itself through competition (mainly depletion of the food base) (Gozlan et al. 2010, Britton et al. 2010 - P), preying on eggs and larvae of native fish species (Gozlan et al. 2010 - P), parasitic transmission (Gozlan et al. in 2005 - P), causing cascading changes in the food web (the so-called top-down effect), leading to an increase in phytoplankton abundance and acceleration of eutrophication (Gozlan et al. 2010 - P). It is also food for piscivorous species (Musil and Adámek 2007 - P).

A1 | Introduction

Questions from this module assess the risk for *the species* to overcome geographical barriers and – if applicable – subsequent barriers of captivity or cultivation. This leads to *introduction*, defined as the entry of *the organism* to within the limits of *the area* and subsequently into the wild.

a06. The probability for *the species* to expand into Poland's natural environments, **as a result of self-propelled expansion** after its earlier introduction outside of the Polish territory is:

X	low medium high					
ас	onf02.	Answer provided with a	low	medium	high X	level of confidence
ac	omm06.	Comments:				
		Topmouth gudgeon is wid Central Asia, Oceania and 2018, Witkowski 2011 - B result of independent exp human activities) way of Witkowski 2011 - B). Du countries on average are a the likelihood of occurrent increase due to self-expansi	dely settled a the Middle E ansion. Natu spreading of e to the fast affected by th nce of this s sion (in the ar	Imost all over East (Gozlan et es permeates fi ral expansion i f the topmouth t rate of sprea e invasion with pecies in the reas where it do	Europe, as w al. 2010 - P, rom fish farm s the second h gudgeon (G ading of the hin a decade, G natural enviro bes not occur	vell as in North Africa, Gatunki obce w Polsce as to open waters as a most important (after Gozlan et al. 2010 - P, topmouth gudgeon (5 Gozlan et. al 2010 - P), onment in Poland will yet).

a07. The probability for *the species* to be introduced into Poland's natural environments by **unintentional human actions** is:

X	low medium high					
acon	f03.	Answer provided with a	low	medium	high X	level of confidence
acor	nm07.	Comments:				
		In the vast majority of case of unintentional human ac species (Gozlan et al. 2010 at the end of the 1980's mainly bighead carp (<i>Arist</i> (Witkowski 2009 - P). Th previously unsettled in Pola	es, topmouth ctivities: accid) - P, Witkows together with tichthys nobili e probability and due to un	gudgeon is int lentally, along ki 2011 - B). Th n the stocking is) and silver c of introducing intended huma	roduced into with stocking his species wa material of A arp (Hypopho g topmouth an activities is	new waters as a result material of other fish s introduced to Poland Asian herbivorous fish, athalmichthys molitrix) gudgeon to the areas s still high.

a08. The probability for *the species* to be introduced into Poland's natural environments by **intentional human actions** is:

low medium X high	1				
aconf04.	Answer provided with a	low	medium	high X	level of confidence
acomm08.	Comments:				
	In just a few cases, topmou 2011, Gatunki obce w Pols Nevertheless, individuals	uth gudgeon ce 2018 - B), of this speci	was introduced e.g. as food for _l es are sometim	intentionally predatory fish nes used by	by humans (Witkowski h (Cakic et al. 2004 - P). anglers as a live bait

(Witkowski 2009 - P). In this way, the species can be intentionally caught, kept and moved to new places. It should be noted that the topmouth gudgeon is a small size fish, <12.5 cm long (FishBase 2018 - B).

A2 | Establishment

Questions from this module assess the likelihood for *the species* to overcome survival and reproduction barriers. This leads to *establishment*, defined as the growth of a population to sufficient levels such that natural extinction within *the area* becomes highly unlikely.

a09. Poland provides **climate** that is:

non-optimalsub-optimalX optimal for establishment of *the species*

aconf05.	Answer provided with a	low	medium	high X	level of confidence
acomm09.	Comments: The topmouth gudgeon development, as evidenced first found in our country in an increase in the number P).	in Poland h d by its wides n 1990, in a p and range of	as favourable pread distribu oond near Mili this species h	conditions ition in our wa cz (Witkowski as been obser	for reproduction and aters. This species was 2009 - P). Since then, ved (Witkowski 2009 -

a10. Poland provides habitat that is

non-optima
sub-optima

X optimal for establishment of *the species*

aconf06.	Answer provided with a	low	medium	high X	level of confidence
acomm10.	Comments: In Poland, there are optir gudgeon, as evidenced by in which the topmouth gud in small watercourses cover should take into account t Poland.	nal habitat co its widespread Igeon is found red with vess he further inc	onditions for t d distribution d is wide. Mos el plants, pono rease in the n	:he establishm in our waters. t often, howev is and lakes (F umber of the	nent of the topmouth The range of habitats ver, this species occurs FishBase 2018 - B). We topmouth gudgeon in

A3 | Spread

Questions from this module assess the risk of *the species* to overcoming dispersal barriers and (new) environmental barriers within Poland. This would lead to spread, in which vacant patches of suitable habitat become increasingly occupied from (an) already-established population(s) within Poland.

Note that spread is considered to be different from range expansions that stem from new introductions (covered by the Introduction module).

a11. The capacity of the species to disperse within Poland by natural means, with no human assistance, is:

very low
low
medium

	high					
Х	very hig	า				
acor	nf07.	Answer provided with a	low	medium	high X	level of confidence
acor	nm11.	Comments:				
		Population expansion (Data The independent expansion place over a distance of about at what time it takes place. He in mind the existing data of gudgeon is recognized the et al. 2005 - P). This species al. 2002 - P). It was first dist in many places in our cour Poland mainly in breeding that, above all, the man of expansion is also mentioned	a type: B) on of the top out 25 km (Go nowever the a n its occurren most invasive s colonized a covered in Po ntry (Witkow ponds and re contributes to as an importa	mouth gudgeo izlan et al. 2010 ability of the spe nce, has been r species of fish i lmost all of Eur land in 1990. O vski 2009 - P). elated rivers (Mo o the spread of ant factor (Gozla	n from the in - P). There is cies to sponta narked as ver in Europe (Go ope in less th ver a dozen o The topmout /itkowski 200 f the species n et al. 2010 -	ntroduction sites takes no detailed information neously spread, bearing ry large. The topmouth zlan et al. 2005, Pinder nan 40 years (Gozlan et or so years it has spread th gudgeon is found in 09 - P), which indicates Nevertheless, natural P, Witkowski 2011 - B).

a12. The frequency of the dispersal of *the species* within Poland by **human actions** is:

X	low medium high					
acor	1f08.	Answer provided with a	low	medium	high X	level of confidence
acor	nm12.	Comments: The spreading of topmouth The sites on which this spe (Witkowski 2009 - P). The discovered in Poland in 199 Witkowski 2009 - P): until 2005 - 43; until 2008 - 51.	n gudgeon in F ecies is found e pace of this 90. The numb 1993 - 10; un	Poland occurs r are primarily b process is fas er of subseque ntil 1996 - 14; u	nainly with th preeding pond t. The topmo nt reports is a until 1999 - 21	e human participation Is and associated rivers outh gudgeon was first as follows (according to 7; until 2002 - 38; unti

A4a | Impact on the environmental domain

Questions from this module qualify the consequences of *the species* on wild animals and plants, habitats and ecosystems.

Impacts are linked to the conservation concern of targets. Native species that are of conservation concern refer to keystone species, protected and/or threatened species. See, for example, Red Lists, protected species lists, or Annex II of the 92/43/EWG Directive. Ecosystems that are of conservation concern refer to natural systems that are the habitat of many threatened species. These include natural forests, dry grasslands, natural rock outcrops, sand dunes, heathlands, peat bogs, marshes, rivers & ponds that have natural banks, and estuaries (Annex I of the 92/43/EWG Directive).

Native species population declines are considered at a local scale: limited decline is considered as a (mere) drop in numbers; severe decline is considered as (near) extinction. Similarly, limited ecosystem change is considered as transient and easily reversible; severe change is considered as persistent and hardly reversible.

a13. The effect of the species on native species, through predation, parasitism or herbivory is:

	inapplicable
	low
	medium
Х	high

aconf09.	Answer provided with a	low	medium	high	level of confidence
				X	

acomm13. Comments:

The topmouth gudgeon is considered to be omnivorous; it mainly consumes animals and slightly plants (Gozlan et al. 2010 - P, Gatunki obce w Polsce 2018 - B). It feeds mainly on small invertebrates - Copepoda, Cladocera, Ostracoda, Mollusca, insect larvae (mainly Chironomidae), Rotifera - and detritus. Although topmouth gudgeon is not a typical fisheating species, by predation and parasitism it can affect native fish species. Topmouth gudgeon preying on eggs and larvae of native fish species has been documented (Gozlan et al. 2010 - P). In addition, the topmouth gudgeon can be an optional parasite if it occurs with other species at high densities. In fish ponds in Moldova, topmouth gudgeon individuals (> 1 year old) caused wounds reaching the muscles in the bodies of silver carp (Hypophthalmichthys molitrix), bighead carp (H. nobilis) and grass carp (Ctenopharyngodon idella) (Gozlan et al. 2010 - P). Because in favourable habitat conditions the topmouth gudgeon density can reach very high values, the impact of this species on other aquatic organisms as a result of predation and parasitism should be considered as large. Preying on eggs and juvenile stages of fish by topmouth gudgeon in open waters and pond cultures of southern Europe probably contributed to reducing the number and even disappearance of some indigenous species of the carp family, including the species of special care - bitterling (Rhodeus sericeus) (Gatunki obce w Polsce). The species is noted in places where native special care species are present, e.g. bitterling (Rhodeus sericeus) and lake minnow (Eupallasella (= Phoxinus) percnurus). According to the results of monitoring of habitats and species with special regard to the particular protection areas of Natura 2000 habitats in 2015-2016 (PMŚ / CIEP 2018 - I), the threat to lake minnow from non-native species of amur sleeper (Perccottus glenii) and topmouth gudgeon (Pseudorasbora parva) should be treated as potentially very large. This applies in particular to the Lubelskie and Mazowieckie Voivodships, where these species are more and more often recorded in the close vicinity of the lake minnow positions (SEM / GIOS 2018 - I).

a14. The effect of the species on native species, through competition is:



a15. The effect of *the species* on native species, through **interbreeding** is:

Х	no / very low
	low
	medium

high very hig	h				
aconf11.	Answer provided with a	low	medium X	high	level of confidence
acomm15.	Comments:				
	In Poland there are no nat to the species <i>Pseudorash</i> gudgeon and native specie between topmouth gudgeo artificial insemination (Goz hybridization occurs in nat topmouth gudgeon interb <i>pumila</i> - causing annihilat (Konishi et al. 2003, Gozlan	ive species clo pora. There we es of fish. It s on and sunblea lan and Beyer cure between reeds with ar tion of this sp et al. 2010 - I	osely related to ere no cases o hould be noted ak (<i>Leocaspius d</i> r 2006 - P). Th these species. I nother species pecies in place P).	o topmouth of hybridizat d that the c <i>lelinateus</i>) have here is no e of the area of the <i>Pse</i> es where it	gudgeon, i.e. belonging ion between topmouth ompatibility of gametes as been demonstrated by evidence, however, that of natural occurrence of <i>udorasbora</i> species - <i>P.</i> is accidentally dragged

a16. The effect of *the species* on native species by **hosting pathogens or parasites** that are harmful to them is:

X	very low low medium high very high	1				
acor	nf12.	Answer provided with a	low	medium	high X	level of confidence
acor	nm16.	Comments:				
		The topmouth gudgeon in transmission of two paras <i>crassus</i> parasite is an invas of eels. This parasite weak <i>anguilla</i>), thus indirectly co <i>S. destruens</i> is an intrace increased mortality of host salmonids and cyprinids (A The introduction of topmo suppression of the reprod within 3 years; it was cause al. 2005 - P). There is no gudgeon individuals with from 67 to 74% of topmout native species –bitterling <i>percnurus</i>). It can infect the significant decrease in the	is a threat to ites: Anguillica ive nematode cens the cond ontributing to fillular parasite ts. The negative arkush et al. 1 puth gudgeon luction of the ed by <i>S. destru</i> o information the parasite in th gudgeon is n (<i>Rhodeus serie</i> ese species wi population size	o native spec ola crassus an parasitizing in lition of indivi the reduction e attacking th ve effect of <i>S</i> . .998, Gozlan e into the pon e sunbleak and <i>ens</i> introduced about the de n Poland. It is noted in the ha ceus) and lake th <i>S. destruens</i> e.	ies of fish, e d <i>Sphaerother</i> a the adult stag duals of the E of its population destruens has a destruens has a destruens has a destruens has a destruens has a destruens has destruens	especially through the cum destruens. The A. ge in the swim bladder European eel (Anguilla on (Rolbiecki 2011 - P). s, which leads to the s been documented on dreou et al. 2012 - P). nbleak resulted in the drop in its population uth gudgeon (Gozlan et infection of topmouth at in the Netherlands, S. destruens (Spikmans red by the special care pallasella (= Phoxinus) ociated with the risk of

a17. The effect of *the species* on ecosystem integrity, by **affecting its abiotic properties** is:

X	low medium high	1				
acont	f13.	Answer provided with a	low	medium X	high	level of confidence

acomm17. Comments:

There are no premises that the topmouth gudgeon can directly interfere with the abiotic factors of the ecosystem. However, it may indirectly, as a result of intensive feeding on zooplankton, cause the cascade effect (top-down) in the trophic pyramid (Gozlan et al. 2010 - P) in the types of habitats in which it occurs, extending also to physico-chemical conditions, such as transparency and water chemistry as a result of an increase in phytoplankton abundance and acceleration of eutrophication.

a18. The effect of *the species* on ecosystem integrity, by affecting its biotic properties is:

low medium X high	I				
aconf14.	Answer provided with a	low	medium	high X	level of confidence
acomm18.	Comments: Intensively feeding on zoop (top-down) in the trophic phytoplankton abundance reversible, and because th 3150 Natura 2000 – old rive Magnopotamion, Hydroch weatherfish (<i>Misgurnus fos</i> <i>Phoxinus</i>) <i>percnurus</i>) - its under favourable condition	plankton the pyramid (Go and acceler er species car er beds and m arition), whe arition), whe silis), bitterlin impact was a ns, the devel	topmouth gud bzlan et al. 20 rated eutrophi n also occur ir atural eutroph ere species of ng (<i>Rhodeus se</i> assessed as lan opment of the	lgeon may c 10 - P), resu ication. The n special car ic water bod f protected <i>riceus</i>), lake rge. It shoul e topmouth	ause the cascade effect ulting in an increase in se changes are hardly re habitats (e.g. habitat ies with communities of fish can live - like a minnow (<i>Eupallasella</i> (= d be remembered that gudgeon population is

A4b | Impact on the cultivated plants domain

Questions from this module qualify the consequences of *the species* for cultivated plants (e.g. crops, pastures, horticultural stock).

For the questions from this module, consequence is considered 'low' when presence of *the species* in (or on) a population of target plants is sporadic and/or causes little damage. Harm is considered 'medium' when *the organism's* development causes local yield (or plant) losses below 20%, and 'high' when losses range >20%.

a19. The effect of the species on cultivated plant targets through herbivory or parasitism is:

X	inapplica very low low medium high very higl	able n				
acon	ıf15.	Answer provided with a	low	medium	high X	level of confidence
acon	nm19.	Comments: The topmouth gudgeon is animals. It also feeds on det	considered	to be an omni	vorous spec	ies. It feeds mainly on

a20. The effect of *the species* on cultivated plant targets through **competition** is:

X	inapplic very lov low medium high very hig	able v h				
acor	nf16.	Answer provided with a	low	medium	high	level of confidence
acor	nm20.	Comments: The species is not a plant.				

a21. The effect of *the species* on cultivated plant targets through **interbreeding** with related species, including the plants themselves is:

X	inapplic no / ver low mediun high very hig	able y low h				
acon	f17.	Answer provided with a	low	medium	high	level of confidence
acon	nm21.	Comments: The species is not a plant.				

a22. The effect of the species on cultivated plant targets by affecting the cultivation system's integrity is:

X	very low low medium high very hig	, h				
acon	f18.	Answer provided with a	low	medium	high X	level of confidence
acon	nm22.	Comments: The species is not a plant, plants.	it feeds maii	nly on animals a	and to a sm	nall extent with detritus,

a23. The effect of *the species* on cultivated plant targets by hosting **pathogens or parasites** that are harmful to them is:

X	very low low medium high very high	ı				
acol	nf19.	Answer provided with a	low	medium	high X	level of confidence
acoi	mm23.	Comments:				
		The species is a freshwater to plants.	fish, it is not	t a host or vecto	or of pathog	ens or parasites harmful

A4c | Impact on the domesticated animals domain

Questions from this module qualify the consequences of *the organism* on domesticated animals (e.g. production animals, companion animals). It deals with both the well-being of individual animals and the productivity of animal populations.

a24. The effect of *the species* on individual animal health or animal production, through **predation or parasitism** is:

X	inapplic very low low medium high very hig	able v h				
ac	onf20.	Answer provided with a	low	medium X	high	level of confidence
ac	omm24.	Comments:				
		The species is often noted 2014 - P) and can occur species, but it may feed on high density of individuals reaching the musculature carp (<i>Hypophthalmichthy</i> (<i>Ctenopharyngodon idella</i>) the carp family are also at	in fish ponds in attractive eggs and larv s, it can be a (Gozlan et al. s molitrix), (Gozlan et al risk.	s (Witkowski 20 angling fisheri vae of native fis n optional para 2010 - P). This bighead car I. 2010 - P), bu	009, Gozlan ies. It is no sh species (G asite, causin s was found p (<i>H. nob</i> t other farm	et al. 2010, Musil et al. t a typically fish-eating ozlan et al. 2010 - P). At g in other fish wounds in species such as silver pilis) and grass carp ned fish, especially from

a25. The effect of *the species* on individual animal health or animal production, by having properties that are hazardous upon **contact**, is:

low



It was observed that the overgrown topmouth gudgeon individuals (older than 1 year) kept in high density nibbled other fish (silver carp, bighead carp, grass carp), causing tissue losses reaching the musculature (Gozlan et al. 2010 - P).

medium

Х

high

level of confidence

a26. The effect of *the species* on individual animal health or animal production, by hosting **pathogens or parasites** that are harmful to them, is:

	inapplica very low	able				
	low medium					
	high	L				
X	very nig					٦
aco	nf22.	Answer provided with a	low	medium	high X	level of confidence

acomm26. Comments:

The topmouth gudgeon carries parasites that are harmful to breeding fish: *Anguillicola crassus* and *Sphaerothecum destruens*. *A. crassus* parasite weakens the condition of European eel (*Anguilla anguilla*), thus indirectly contributing to the reduction of its population (Rolbiecki 2011 - P). *S. destruens* is an intracellular parasite, causing an increase in mortality in the host population. The negative effect of *S. destruens* has been documented on various species of salmonids and cyprinids, including economically and recreationally exploited fish, such as common bream (*Abramis brama*), carp (*Cyprinus carpio*), roach (*Rutilus rutilus*) (Arkush et al. 1998, Gozlan et al. 2005, Andreou et al. 2012 - P). There is no information about the degree of infection of topmouth gudgeon individuals with the parasite in Poland. It is estimated that in Holland from 67 to 74% of topmouth gudgeon individuals are infected with *S. destruens* (Spikmans et al. 2013 - P).

A4d | Impact on the human domain

Questions from this module qualify the consequences of *the organism* on humans. It deals with human health, being defined as a state of complete physical, mental and social well-being and not merely the absence of disease or infirmity (definition adopted from the World Health Organization).

a27. The effect of *the species* on human health through **parasitism** is:

X	inapplica very low low medium high vert high	able				
acor	nf23.	Answer provided with a	low	medium	high	level of confidence
acor	nm27.	Comments:				_

The species does not parasitize people.

a28. The effect of *the species* on human health, by having properties that are hazardous upon **contact**, is:

X	very low low medium high very high	ı				
acor	124.	Answer provided with a	low	medium	high X	level of confidence
acor	nm28.	Comments: The species is not a danger	· in direct con	tact with humar	15.	

a29. The effect of the species on human health, by hosting pathogens or parasites that are harmful to humans, is:

	inapplicable
Х	very low
	low
	medium
	high
	very high

aconf25.	Answer provided with a	low	medium	high X	level of confidence
acomm29.	Comments:				
	The topmouth gudgeon is to humans.	not a vector o	of pathogens of	or parasites th	at could be dangerous

A4e | Impact on other domains

Questions from this module qualify the consequences of *the species* on targets not considered in modules A4a-d.

a30. The effect of *the species* on causing damage to **infrastructure** is:

X	very low low medium high very high	1				
acon	f26.	Answer provided with a	low	medium	high X	level of confidence
acon	nm30.	Comments:				
		There are no known cases are no premises indicating t	of the topm hat this spec	outh gudgeon i cies can affect ot	mpact on t her objects:	he infrastructure. There

A5a | Impact on ecosystem services

Questions from this module qualify the consequences of *the organism* on ecosystem services. Ecosystem services are classified according to the Common International Classification of Ecosystem Services, which also includes many examples (CICES Version 4.3). Note that the answers to these questions are not used in the calculation of the overall risk score (which deals with ecosystems in a different way), but can be considered when decisions are made about management of *the species*.

a31. The effect of the species on provisioning services is:

X	significat moderat neutral moderat significat	ntly negative ely negative ely positive ntly positive				
acon	f27.	Answer provided with a	low	medium	high X	level of confidence
acon	1m31.	Comments: The impact of topmouth gebecause it negatively affect from the wild and farmed, individuals of other specie 2010 - P). This results in m topmouth gudgeon in the c base for fish, resulting in a 2014 - P). The topmouth ge 2007 - P), which is the su	udgeon on su ts domestic fi competing fo es (facultative easurable ecc carp ponds in t an increase in gudgeon is ea bject of fishe	pply services w sh species, incl r food, feeding parasite) and t nomic losses. T the Czech Repu the carp proc ten by predato ries manageme	vas defined a luding those g on fish eggs transferring p The population blic reduced duction costs ory fish speci ent. This is a	s moderately negative, obtained economically and larvae, wounding parasites (Gozlan et al. on development of the the abundance of food by 100% (Musil et al. es (Musil and Adámek positive effect of the

impact of topmouth gudgeon on supply services, although it does not compensate for the negative impact described earlier.

a32. The effect of the species on regulation and maintenance services is:

	significantly negative
Х	moderately negative
	neutral
	moderately positive
	significantly positive

aconf28.	Answer provided with a	low	medium X	high	level of confidence
----------	------------------------	-----	--------------------	------	---------------------

acomm32. Comments:

As a result of the cascade effect (top-down) in the trophic pyramid, which may occur at high density of the topmouth gudgeon, there may be an excessive increase in phytoplankton abundance, acceleration of eutrophication and, consequently, changes in the physico-chemical conditions of the habitat (Gozlan et al. 2010 - P). The topmouth gudgeon carries parasites and thus affects the regulation of zoonoses.

a33. The effect of *the species* on **cultural services** is:

X model neutra model signific	cantly negative rately negative al rately positive cantly positive				
aconf29.	Answer provided with a	low	medium X	high	level of confidence
acomm33.	Comments:				
The impact of topmouth gudgeon on cultural services has been described as mode negative, because it adversely affects domestic fish species, attractive to a competing for food, feeding on fish eggs and larvae, wounding individuals of other s (optional parasite) and transferring parasites (Gozlan et al. 2010 - P). It can the reduce the recreational values of fishing grounds.					described as moderately attractive to anglers, ividuals of other species 0 - P). It can therefore

A5b | Effect of climate change on the risk assessment of the negative impact of the species

Below, each of the Harmonia^{+PL} modules is revisited under the premise of the future climate. The proposed time horizon is the mid-21st century. We suggest taking into account the reports of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Specifically, the expected changes in atmospheric variables listed in its 2013 report on the physical science basis may be used for this purpose. The global temperature is expected to rise by 1 to 2°C by 2046-2065.

Note that the answers to these questions are not used in the calculation of the overall risk score, but can be but can be considered when decisions are made about management of *the species*.

a34. INTRODUCTION – Due to climate change, the probability for *the species* to overcome geographical barriers and – if applicable – subsequent barriers of captivity or cultivation in Poland will:

	decrease significantly				
	decrease moderately				
Х	not change				
	increase moderately				
	increase significantly				

aconf30.	Answer provided with a	low	medium	high X	level of confidence
acomm34.	Comments: There are no reasons to be geographical barriers. It is 2009 - P), characterized by al. 2010, Záhorská et al. 20	lieve that clim a species alre high phenoty 13 - P).	nate change wi eady introduce pic plasticity a	ill affect topm d, and settled nd large adap	outh gudgeon crossing d in Poland (Witkowski tive abilities (Gozlan et

a35. ESTABLISHMENT – Due to climate change, the probability for *the species* to overcome barriers that have prevented its survival and reproduction in Poland will:

	decrease	e significantly				
	decrease	e moderately				
Х	not char	nge				
	increase	moderately				
	increase	significantly				
	404		laur		b: ab	lough of confidence
acont31.		Answer provided with a	IOW	medium	nign X	level of confidence
acon	nm35.	Comments:				
		The topmouth gudgeon m	oroko is an e	stablished spec	ies in Polan	d. It is unlikely that this

situation will change as a result of the climate change.

a36. SPREAD – Due to climate change, the probability for *the species* to overcome barriers that have prevented its spread in Poland will:

dec dec not X inc	crease crease chan rease rease	significantly moderately ge moderately significantly				
aconf32.		Answer provided with a	low	medium	high X	level of confidence
acomm3	6.	Comments:	proctorized by	high phonotypic r	lasticity and	has high adaptive shiliti

The topmouth gudgeon is characterized by high phenotypic plasticity and has high adaptive abilities (Gozlan et al. 2010, Záhorská et al. 2013 - P), the most numerous being in macrophyte-covered environments (FishBase 2018 - B). As a result of the climate change, the development of submerged plants will take place (Alahuhta et al. 2010, Dhir 2015 - P) and it is to be expected that the development conditions of the topmouth gudgeon populations will be moderately improved. In the heated (by 5-7°C) waters of the Licheńskie Lake, the development of submerged vegetation, in particular of the foreign species – eel grass (*Vallisneria spiralis*) - stimulates the development of the topmouth gudgeon population (Kapusta et al. 2008, Záhorská et al. 2013 - P).

a37. IMPACT ON THE ENVIRONMENTAL DOMAIN – Due to climate change, the consequences of *the species* on wild animals and plants, habitats and ecosystems in Poland will:

	X	decrease decrease not char increase	e significantly e moderately nge moderately cignificantly				
6	acor	nf33.	Answer provided with a	low	medium	high X	level of confidence

acomm37. Comments:

It is predicted that as a result of the climate change, the impact of the topmouth gudgeon on cold-water species will increase due to food competition (Záhorská et al. 2013 - P). It should be assumed that this influence will increase at most moderately.

a38. IMPACT ON THE CULTIVATED PLANTS DOMAIN – Due to climate change, the consequences of *the species* on cultivated plants and plant domain in Poland will:

X	decrease decrease not char increase increase	e significantly e moderately nge moderately significantly				
acon	f34.	Answer provided with a	low	medium	high X	level of confidence
acom	1m38.	Comments: The species does not affect	t crops and pl	ant production.		

a39. IMPACT ON THE DOMESTICATED ANIMALS DOMAIN – Due to climate change, the consequences of *the species* on domesticated animals and animal production in Poland will:

X	decrease decrease not char increase increase	e significantly e moderately nge e moderately e significantly				
acon	ıf35.	Answer provided with a	low	medium	high X	level of confidence
acon	nm39.	Comments: It is predicted that as a res on cold-water species will also applies to economica	sult of the clir increase due Ilv exploited	nate change, th to food compet fish. It should l	e impact of tition (Záhor pe assumed	the topmouth gudgeon ská et al. 2013 - P), this that this influence will

a40. IMPACT ON THE HUMAN DOMAIN – Due to climate change, the consequences of *the species* on human in Poland will:

X	decrease decrease not char increase increase	e significantly e moderately nge e moderately e significantly				
aco	nf36.	Answer provided with a	low	medium X	high	level of confidence
200	mm/10	Comments:				

There is no evidence that the topmouth gudgeon affects people. It is unlikely that this situation will change as a result of the climate change.

a41. IMPACT ON OTHER DOMAINS – Due to climate change, the consequences of *the species* on other domains in Poland will:

	decrease significantly
	decrease moderately
Х	not change

increase moderately.

increase increase	e moderately e significantly				
aconf37.	Answer provided with a	low	medium	high X	level of confidence
acomm41.	Comments:				
	So far, there has been no impact of the topmouth gudgeon on other objects. It is unlike that this situation will change as a result of the climate change.				

Summary

Module	Score	Confidence	
Introduction (questions: a06-a08)	1.00	1.00	
Establishment (questions: a09-a10)	1.00	1.00	
Spread (questions: a11-a12)	1.00	1.00	
Environmental impact (questions: a13-a18)	0.75	0.83	
Cultivated plants impact (questions: a19-a23)	0.00	1.00	
Domesticated animals impact (questions: a24-a26)	0.67	0.67	
Human impact (questions: a27-a29)	0.00	1.00	
Other impact (questions: a30)	0.00	1.00	
Invasion (questions: a06-a12)	1.00	1.00	
Impact (questions: a13-a30)	0.75	0.90	
Overall risk score	0.75		
Category of invasiveness	moderately invasive alien speciesp		

A6 | Comments

This assessment is based on information available at the time of its completion. It has to be taken into account. However, that biological invasions are, by definition, very dynamic and unpredictable. This unpredictability includes assessing the consequences of introductions of new alien species and detecting their negative impact. As a result, the assessment of the species may change in time. For this reason it is recommended that it regularly repeated.

acomm42.	Comments:
	The topmouth gudgeon is considered to be one of the most invasive of foreign fish species. On this basis, it has been covered by regulations both in national (Regulation of the Minister of the Environment of 9 September 2011 on the list of plants and animals of alien species that could be a threat to native species or natural habitats in case of their release into the natural environment $-P$) and European (Commission implementing regulation (EU) 2016/1141 of 13 July 2016 adopting a list of invasive alien species of Union concern pursuant to Regulation $-P$) legislation, which aim at limiting the risk of biological invasions.
	Despite this, after the risk assessment for Poland, the species was classified as an intermediate invasive category. The maximum value of the negative impact of this species (0.75) has been demonstrated for the module Impact on the natural environment (questions: a13-a18).
	It should be remembered that the categories of invasiveness in this assessment were determined a priori, without the knowledge of the actual distribution of this parameter, and

the maximum value (0.75) obtained by the topmouth gudgeon is only by 0.01 lower than the pre-defined limit (0.76), above which the species is considered to be very invasive.

All these conditions should be taken into account when making decisions on how to deal with species and their prioritization.

Data sources

1. Published results of scientific research (P)

Alahuhta J, Luoto M, Heino J. 2010. Climate change and the future distributions of aquatic macrophytes across boreal catchments. Journal of Biogeography 38: 383-393

Andreou D, Arkush KD, Guégan JF, Gozlan RE. 2012. Introduced pathogens and native freshwater biodiversity: a case study of *Sphaerothecum destruens*. PLoS One 7: e36998

Arkush KD, Frasca S, Hedrick RP. 1998. Pathology Associated with the Rosette Agent, A Systemic Protist Infecting Salmonid Fishes. Journal of Aquatic Animal Health 10: 1-11

Baker JR, Muller R, Rollinson D. 2001. Advances in Parasitology 41. Academic Press

Bjelić-Čabrilo O, Novakov N, Ćirković M, Kostić D, Popović E, Aleksić N, Lujić J. 2013. The first determination of *Eustrongylides excisus* Jägerskiöld, 1909 — larvae (Nematoda: Dioctophymatidae) in the pike-perch Sander lucioperca in Vojvodina (Serbia). Helminthologia 50: 291-294

Branciari R, Ranucci D, Miraglia D, Valiani A, Veronesi F, Urbani E, Lo Vaglio G, Pascucci L, Franceschini R. 2016. Occurrence of Parasites of the Genus *Eustrongylides* spp. (Nematoda: Dioctophymatidae) in Fish Caught in Trasimeno Lake, Italy. Italian Journal of Food Safety 5: 6130

Britton JR, Davies GD, Harrod C. 2010. Trophic interactions and consequent impacts of the invasive fish *Pseudorasbora parva* in a native aquatic foodweb: a field investigation in the UK. Biological Invasions 12: 1533-1542

Cakic P, Lenhardt M, Kolarevic J, Mickovic B, Hegedis A. 2004. Distribution of the Asiatic cyprinid *Pseudorasbora parva* in Serbia and Montenegro. Journal of Fish Biology 65: 1431-1434

Commission implementing regulation (EU) 2016/1141 of 13 July 2016 adopting a list of invasive alien species of Union concern pursuant to Regulation (EU) No 1143/2014 of the European Parliament and of the Council (OJ L 189, 14.7.2016, p. 4)

Czeczuga B, Kiziewicz B, Danilkiewicz Z. 2002. Zoosporic fungi growing on the specimens of certain fish species recently introduced to Polish waters. Acta Ichthyologica et Piscatoria 32: 117-125

Dhir B. 2015. Status of Aquatic Macrophytes in Changing Climate: A Perspective. Journal of Environmental Science and Technology 8: 139-148

Gozlan RE, Andreou D, Asaeda T, Beyer K, Bouhahad R, Burnard D, Caioa N, Cakic P, Djikanovic V, Esmaeili HR, Falka I, Golicher D, Harka A, Jeney G, Kováč V, Musal J, Nocita A, Povz M, Poulet N, Virbickas T, Wolter C, Tarkan AS, Trichkova T, Verreycken H, Witkowski A, Zhang CG, Zweimueller I, Britton JR. 2010. Pan-continental invasion of *Pseudorasbora parva*: towards a better understanding of freshwater fish invasions. Fish and Fisheries 11: 315-340

Gozlan RE, Beyer K. 2006. Hybridisation between *Pseudorasbora parva* and *Leucaspius delineatus*. Folia Zoologica 55: 53-60

Gozlan RE, Pinder AC, Shelley J. 2002. Occurrence of the Asiatic cyprinid *Pseudorasbora parva* in England. Journal of Fish Biology 61: 298-30

Gozlan RE, St-Hilaire S, Feist SW, Martin P, Kent ML. 2005. Biodiversity: disease threat to European fish. Nature 435: 1046

Kapusta A, Bogacka-Kapusta E, Czarnecki B. 2008. The significance of stone moroko, Pseudorasbora parva (Temmick and Schlegel), in the small-sized fish assemblages in the littoral zone of the heated Lake Licheńskie. Archives of Polish Fisherie 16: 49-62

Konishi M, Hosoya K, Takata K. 2003. Natural hybridization between endangered and introduced species of *Pseudorasbora*, with their genetic relationships and characteristics inferred from allozyme analyses. Journal of Fish Biology 63: 213-231

Musil J, Adámek Z. 2007. Piscivorous fishes diet dominated by the Asian cyprinid invader, topmouth gudgeon (*Pseudorasbora parva*). Biologia 62: 488-490

Musil M, Novotná K, Potužák J, Hůda J, Pechar L. 2014. Impact of topmouth gudgeon (*Pseudorasbora parva*) on production of common carp (*Cyprinus carpio*) – question of natural food structure. Biologia 69: 1757-1769

Pinder AC, Gozlan RE, Britton JR. 2005. Dispersal of the invasive topmouth gudgeon, *Pseudorasbora parva* in the UK: a vector for an emergent infectious disease. Fisheries Management and Ecology 12: 411-414

Rechulicz J. 2011. Monitoring of the topmouth gudgeon, *Pseudorasbora parva* (Actinopterygii: Cypriniformes: Cyprinidae) in a small upland Ciemiega River, Poland. Acta Ichthyologica et Piscatoria 41: 193-199

Regulation of the Minister of the Environment of 9 September 2011 on the list of plants and animals of alien species that could be a threat to native species or natural habitats in case of their release into the natural environment (Journal of Laws No 210, item 1260)

Rolbiecki L. 2011. Nowe dane na temat rozprzestrzenienia inwazyjnego nicienia *Anguillicoloides crassus* (Anguillicolidae) u wegorzy na terenie Polski. Komunikaty Rybackie 4: 9-13

Spikmans F, van Tongeren T, van Alen TA, van der Velde G, Op den Camp HJM. 2013. High prevalence of the parasite *Sphaerothecum destruens* in the invasive topmouth gudgeon *Pseudorasbora parva* in the Netherlands, a potential threat to native freshwater fish. Aquatic Invasion 8: 355-360

Witkowski A. 2009 On the expansion and occurrence of an invasive species – *Pseudorasbora parva* (Temminck et Schlegel, 1846) (Teleostei: Cyprinidae: Gobioninae) in Poland. Fragmenta Faunistica 52: 25-32

Witkowski A., Grabowska J. 2012 The non-indigenous freshwater fishes of Poland: Threats for native ichthyofauna and consequence for fishery: A review Acta Ichthyologica et Piscatoria 42: 77-87

Yurishinets VI, Zaichenko NV. 2015. Comparative Analysis of the Parasite Fauna of *Pseudorasbora para* (Cyprinidae) in the Native and Non-Native Areas of Its Distribution. Hydrobiological Journal 51: 101-109

Záhorská E, Balážová M, Šúrová M. 2013. Morphology, sexual dimorphism and size at maturation in topmouth gudgeon (*Pseudorasbora parva*) from the heated Lake Licheńskie (Poland). Knowledge and Management of Aquatic Ecosystems 411, 07

2. Databases (B)

FishBase 2018. *Pseudorasbora parva* (Temminck & Schlegel, 1846) (www.fishbase.org/summary/4691) Date of access: 2018-01-30

Gatunki obce w Polsce 2018. *Pseudorasbora parva* (Schlegel, 1842) — Czebaczek amurski — Stone moroco (Ryba) (www.iop.krakow.pl/ias/gatunki/204) Date of access: 2018-01-29

Witkowski A. 2011. NOBANIS – Invasive Alien Species Fact Sheet – *Pseudorasbora parva*. (www.nobanis.org/globalassets/speciesinfo/p/pseudorasbora-parva/pseudorasbora_parva.pdf) Date of access: 2018-01-29

3. Unpublished data (N)

_

4. Other (I)

Commision implementing regulation (EU) 2016/1141 of 13 July 2016 adopting a list of invasive alien species of Union concern pursuant to Regulation (EU) No 114/2014 of the European Parliament and of the Council. Official Journal of the European Union L 189/4. 14.7.2016

PMŚ/GIOŚ (Państwowy Monitoring Środowiska / Główny Inspektorat Ochrony Środowiska) 2018. Monitoring gatunków i siedlisk przyrodniczych ze szczególnym uwzględnieniem specjalnych obszarów ochrony siedlisk Natura 2000. Wyniki monitoringu w latach 2015-2016. Strzebla błotna *Eupallasella* (*=Phoxinus*) *percnurus*. (siedliska.gios.gov.pl/images/pliki_pdf/wyniki/2015-

2018/2016/zwierzeta/wyniki_monitoringu_zwierzat_2015_2016_strzebla_blotna.pdf)

Regulation of the Minister of the Environment of 9 September 2011 on the list of plants and animals of alien species that could be a threat to native species or natural habitats in case of their release into the natural environment (Journal of Laws No 210, item 1260)

5. Author's own data (A)

-