





Appendix A

Harmonia^{+PL} – procedure for negative impact risk assessment for invasive alien species and potentially invasive alien species in Poland

QUESTIONNAIRE

A0 | Context

Questions from this module identify the assessor and the biological, geographical & social context of the assessment.

a01. Name(s) of the assessor(s):

first name and family name

- 1. Tomasz Kakareko
- 2. Joanna Grabowska
- 3. Karolina Mazurska

| acomm01. | Comments: | | | | | |
|----------|-----------|---------|--|-----------------|--|--|
| | | degree | affiliation | assessment date | | |
| | (1) | dr hab. | Department of Hydrobiology, Faculty of Biology and Environmental Protection, The Nicolaus Copernicus University, Toruń | 24-01-2018 | | |
| | (2) | dr hab. | Department of Ecology and Vertebrate Zoology, Institute of Ecology and Environmental Protection, Faculty of Biology and Environmental Protection, University of Lodz | 20-01-2018 | | |
| | (3) | mgr | Institute of Nature Conservation of the Polish Academy of Sciences in Cracow | 31-01-2018 | | |

a02. Name(s) of the species under assessment:

| Polish name: | Babka łysa (babka gołogłowa) |
|---------------|--|
| Latin name: | Neogobius gymnotrachelus (Kessler, 1857) |
| English name: | Racer goby |





Unia Europejska Fundusz Spójności



Współfinansowano w ramach projektu nr POIS.02.04.00-00-0100/16 pn. *Opracowanie zasad kontroli i zwalczania inwazyjnych gatunków obcych wraz z przeprowadzeniem pilotażowych działań i edukacją społeczną ze środków Unii Europejskiej w ramach Programu Infrastruktura i Środowisko 2014-2020*

| acomm02. | Comments: | | | | |
|----------|---|--|--|--|--|
| | According to FishBase (2018 – B) the racer goby belongs to Babka genus, not Neogobius. In the database, this species is designated: Babka gymnotrachelus (Kessler, 1857). | | | | |
| | Polish name (synonym I) Babka gołogłowa | Polish name (synonym II) – | | | |
| | Latin name (synonym I) <i>Gobius burmaisteri</i> | Latin name (synonym II) Gobius gymnotrachelus | | | |
| | English name (synonym I) Goad goby | English name (synonym II) – | | | |

a03. Area under assessment:

Poland

acomm03. Comments:

_

a04. Status of the species in Poland. The species is:

| | - | | | | | |
|------|------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | native to | Poland | | | | |
| | alien, ab | sent from Poland | | | | |
| | alien, pre | esent in Poland only in cultiv | ation or capti | ivity | | |
| | alien, pre | esent in Poland in the enviro | nment, not e | stablished | | |
| Х | alien, pro | esent in Poland in the enviro | nment, estab | lished | | |
| acor | nf01. | Answer provided with a | low | medium | high X | level of confidence |
| aco | mm04. | Comments: | | | | |
| | | The racer goby derives fro Poland in the Bug River in Wrocławski Reservoir (Kos a considerable portion of the river. There are no da forms stable, reproducing 2017 – I, Non-native specie | om the Ponto n 1995 (Danil trzewa and G the Lower Vi ta on its disp populations i es in Poland 2 | ocaspian region Ikiewiecz 1996 Grabowski 2001 Istula River, mo Dersion upstrea n Polish waters 018 – B). | . For the first – P). In 2000 – P). Within oving downstr m in the Vist G (Kakareko et | time, it was found in), it was caught in the 3-4 years, it colonized ream in the current of tula River. This species al. 2009 – P, Płąchocki |

a05. The impact of *the species* on major domains. *The species* may have an impact on:

- **X** the environmental domain
- the cultivated plants domain
- **X** the domesticated animals domain
- **X** the human domain
- the other domains

acomm05. Comments:

The racer goby is an important link in the food web, both as a bentophage (Grabowska 2005, Kakareko et al. 2005 – P), and an important prey for many native fish species (Płąchocki et al. 2012 – P). This species preys on the benthic fauna, thus reducing the food resources and increasing competitive tension between fish. It can drive from microhabits (shelters) of other fish species having the similar biology, e.g. the European bullhead (*Cottus gobio*), which is a protected species in Poland (the ordinance of the Minister of Environment of the 16th December 2016 on the species protection of fauna, Council Directive 92/43/EEC – I). This species can transfer parasites, posing a threat to other fish, as well as to humans (nematode *Eustrongylides excisus*).

A1 | Introduction

Questions from this module assess the risk for *the species* to overcome geographical barriers and – if applicable – subsequent barriers of captivity or cultivation. This leads to *introduction*, defined as the entry of *the organism* to within the limits of *the area* and subsequently into the wild.

a06. The probability for *the species* to expand into Poland's natural environments, **as a result of self-propelled expansion** after its earlier introduction outside of the Polish territory is:

| X | low medium high | | | | | |
|-----|-----------------------|--|---|--|--|--|
| aco | nf02. | Answer provided with a | low | medium | high X | level of confidence |
| асо | mm06. | Comments: The racer goby penetrate central migration corridor Sea, the Dnieper and Pr tributary of the Bug River the Bydgoszcz Canal, the N in Poland 2018 – B). | s independer for the Pont ypeć Rivers,) to Poland, a Noteć, the Od | ntly the territo o-Caspian faun the Dnieper-E and then with er, to Germany | ry of Poland, a. The corrid Bug Canal, a possible furt and Westerr | via the so-called the or runs from the Black nd the Muchawiec (a her expansion through n Europe (Alien species |

a07. The probability for *the species* to be introduced into Poland's natural environments by **unintentional human actions** is:

| | low |
|---|----------------|
| | medium |
| Х | high |
| X | medium high |

| aconf03. | Answer provided with a | low | medium | high X | level of confidence |
|----------|---|---|---|--|--|
| acomm07. | Comments: | | | | |
| | The river transport plays a European rivers (Wiesner moved passively (e.g. in th water) for long distances. T connecting large river m increasing the portion of t for this species (e.g., stony probability of the introduc | significant role 2005, Roche on form of egg The spread of the ouths and tr the stony both embankment tion of the rac | e in spreading et al. 2013 – I s and / or indi the racer goby ansformations tom, which is s). All of the a er goby in area | of Ponto-Casp p); therefore, viduals in tan is also attribu of the river abundant in bove mention as yet non-colo | ian fish gobies in large the racer goby can be ks filled with outboard ited to inland channels r bed, which lead to microhabitats (shelter) ed factors increase the onized, also in Poland. |

a08. The probability for *the species* to be introduced into Poland's natural environments by **intentional human actions** is:

| X | low medium high | | | | | |
|-----|-----------------------|---|---|--|---|---|
| aco | nf04. | Answer provided with a | low | medium | high X | level of confidence |
| aco | mm08. | Comments: | | | | |
| | | No cases of the introduc production in Poland have goby, because it is used as a data on the extent of this p the probability has been as | ction of this been known a live bait (Kak shenomenon. ssessed as higl | species to th . Anglers can c areko 2018a, au If the high nun n. | e natural er contribute to uthor's observ nber of the ra | nvironment for animal the spread of the racer vations – A). There is no acer goby is considered, |

A2 | Establishment

Questions from this module assess the likelihood for *the species* to overcome survival and reproduction barriers. This leads to *establishment*, defined as the growth of a population to sufficient levels such that natural extinction within *the area* becomes highly unlikely.

a09. Poland provides climate that is:

| | non-optimal |
|---|--|
| | sub-optimal |
| Х | optimal for establishment of the species |

| aconf05. | Answer provided with a | low | medium | high X | level of confidence |
|----------|------------------------|-----|--------|-----------|---------------------|
| acomm09 | Comments. | | | | |

Climatic conditions for the racer goby in Poland are favourable for development and reproduction, and it creates numerous breeding populations locally (Płąchocki 2017 – I). The conditions for the development in the temperate climate are convenient, with its optimum in the temperature range between 4°C and 20°C (FishBase 2018 – B).

a10. Poland provides habitat that is

| | non-optimal |
|---|--|
| | sub-optimal |
| Х | optimal for establishment of the species |

| aconf06. | Answer provided with a | low | medium | high X | |
|----------|------------------------|-----|--------|-----------|--|
|----------|------------------------|-----|--------|-----------|--|

level of confidence

acomm10. Comments:

The racer goby has optimum habitat conditions in Poland, and it is an established species here. It is highly flexible in terms of the habitat. Laboratory research shows that it prefers slow water flow (10 cm/s) and muddy bottom (Kakareko 2011 – P). However, it can occupy various types of running water (big and smaller rivers, faster watercourses), as well as stagnant water (lakes, bays) (FishBase 2018). It occurs mainly in the muddy or muddy-sandy bottom, but it is also found in sandy or gravel bottoms (Pinchuk et al. 2003 – P). It is usually found in places with a considerable complexity, e.g., overgrown by macrophytes (FishBase 2018 – B). In the Włocławek Reservoir, it extends across the whole width of the reservoir, in flooded areas (4-5 m deep) as well as in the former bed of the Vistula River (10-12 m) (Kakareko 2011 – P).

A3 | Spread

Questions from this module assess the risk of *the species* to overcoming dispersal barriers and (new) environmental barriers within Poland. This would lead to spread, in which vacant patches of suitable habitat become increasingly occupied from (an) already-established population(s) within Poland.

Note that spread is considered to be different from range expansions that stem from new introductions (covered by the Introduction module).

a11. The capacity of the species to disperse within Poland by natural means, with no human assistance, is:

| | very low |
|---|-----------|
| | low |
| | medium |
| | high |
| Х | very high |
| ~ | veryingn |

| aconf07. | Answer provided with a | low | medium | high X | level of confidence |
|----------|--|---|--|---|--|
| acomm11. | Comments: Population expansion (data The racer goby has colonize at least approx. 100 km see P), which meets the highe speed higher than 10 kilom spread is assessed as very b Bug River in 1995 (Danilkie (Wiśniewolski et al. 2000 – (Kostrzewa and Grabowski high during research fishin I, Kakareko et al. 2009 – P). | etype B) ed a considera ction between est criterion o hetres per yea high. The race wicz 1996 – P - P). In 2000, a 2001 – P). In g in the Vistul | able section of Włocławek a f Harmonia+P r), and the eva r goby was fou ?). In 1997-199 a racer goby w a 2003-2004, t la River in Toru | the lower Vis nd Bydgoszcz L (the species luation of the und for the firs 9, it was not r as found in th he number of un and Bydgos | stula within 3-4 years – (Kakareko et al. 2009 – s range extends at the ability to independent st time in Poland in the recorded in the Vistula e Włocławek Reservoir f specimens was quite szcz (Gawroński 2004 – |

a12. The frequency of the dispersal of *the species* within Poland by **human actions** is:

| | X | low medium high | | | | | |
|---|------|-----------------------|---|--|--|---|--|
| c | acon | f08. | Answer provided with a | low | medium | high X | level of confidence |
| ć | acon | nm12. | Comments: | | | | |
| | | | The river transport plays European rivers (Roche et unintentionally, passively (tanks) for long distances Anthropogenic transformat reservoirs) create favourab goby can be also spread in 2018a, author's observatio racer goby is probably used the specimens for a distant the racer goby can occur a spreads in Poland with hum | an important al. 2013 – P) e.g., in the for s, including it tions of rivers le habitats for n Poland by a ns – A). There d as bait in pla ce longer that locally in large nan participati | role in sprea ; thus, the rac rm of eggs and nland channe (stony river em this species a nglers, becaus is no data on tices where it u n 50 km canno e numbers, the on may be est | ding Ponto-C cer goby can d / or individ els connectir abankment, p and support in e it is used a the scale of usually occurs of be exclude e frequency of imated as hig | Caspian gobies in large be transferred by man uals in outboard water ng large river basins. Fort infrastructure, dam ts expansion. The racer as a live bait (Kakareko this phenomenon. The the However, transferring ed. Due to the fact that with which the species h. |

A4a | Impact on the environmental domain

Questions from this module qualify the consequences of *the species* on wild animals and plants, habitats and ecosystems.

Impacts are linked to the conservation concern of targets. Native species that are of conservation concern refer to keystone species, protected and/or threatened species. See, for example, Red Lists, protected species lists, or Annex II of the 92/43/EWG Directive. Ecosystems that are of conservation concern refer to natural systems that are the habitat of many threatened species. These include natural forests, dry grasslands, natural rock outcrops, sand dunes, heathlands, peat bogs, marshes, rivers & ponds that have natural banks, and estuaries (Annex I of the 92/43/EWG Directive).

Native species population declines are considered at a local scale: limited decline is considered as a (mere) drop in numbers; severe decline is considered as (near) extinction. Similarly, limited ecosystem change is considered as transient and easily reversible; severe change is considered as persistent and hardly reversible.

a13. The effect of *the species* on native species, through predation, parasitism or herbivory is:

inapplicable low

| | X medi high | um | | | | |
|---|----------------|--|--|---|--|---|
| а | conf09. | Answer provided with a | low | medium X | high | level of confidence |
| а | comm13. | Comments: | | | | |
| | | The species affects native so occupied by this species in amphihods (<i>Amphipoda</i>), other invertabrates are a Grabowski 2003, Kakareko B). The predation impact of far. It has been determined occur locally in big numb populations preyed upon. effect to the population so mussel: (<i>Sphaerium solidu</i> <i>rivicola</i>) (ordinance of the protection of animal species | pecies – but of Poland (river midges larvad smaller part et al. 2005, G f this species of d in this ques bers and it at lts impact is ize of special m) protected Minister of En- ts – I). | only invertebra s, dam reservo e (<i>Chironomide</i> of this diet, fi rabowska 2009 on the preyed tionnaire as m ffects the pop significant to I care species in Poland an invironment of | ites – by pred birs), the race ae), and bive sh is only m 5 – P, Alien sp populations l redium, beca oulation size non-special is smaller, v d the river of the 16 th of D | dation. In environments er goby feeds mainly on alves (<i>Mollusca</i>), while hinimal (Kostrzewa and pecies in Poland 2018 – has not been studied so use the racer goby can of native invertebrate care species, while the vhich include solid orb orb mussel (<i>Sphaerium</i> December, 2016, on the |

a14. The effect of *the species* on native species, through **competition** is:

| low medium X high | | | | | |
|-------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| aconf10. | Answer provided with a | low | medium X | high | level of confidence |
| acomm14. | Comments: There are clear premises in European bullhead – a spe Directive 92/43/EEC – I. Th among submerged elemen (Alien species in Poland aggressively protects the Experimental laboratory re European bullhead from s aggressive fish, the racer ge away from feeding grounds al. 2013 – P). In natural con bullhead is minimized as a from field studies are not u the population number aff have not confirmed this fin | dicating that ecies protecto eracer goby its (stones, re 2018 – B). place selec esearch has uch microha oby is more e s and reducin nditions, the result of habi inambiguous ter Ponto-Cas ding. The rac | the racer goby ed in Poland ar y occurs in mic oots, empty sh Especially, in t cted by it for shown that, in bitats (Grabow effective in com og the time of f adverse impact tat selection (Ka . Van Kessel et a spian gobies ha er goby can con | is a threat, a nd listed in crohabitats i ells of bival he reproduc the estab spring, the ska et al. 2 petition with eeding for th cof the race akareko et al al. (2016 – P nd occurred. npete for for | nd it competes with the Annex II of the Council in the form of shelters we Anodonta sp., litter) ctive period, the male lishment of the nest. racer goby drives the 016 - P). As the more n this species, chasing it his species (Kakareko et r goby on the European 2016 - P). Conclusions) report the decrease in Janáč et al. (2018 - P) od and space with other |

a15. The effect of *the species* on native species, through **interbreeding** is:

| X | no / ver | y low | | | | |
|------|----------|------------------------|-----|--------|------|---------------------|
| | low | | | | | |
| | medium | I | | | | |
| | high | | | | | |
| | very hig | h | | | | |
| | | 1 | | | | |
| acon | ıf11. | Answer provided with a | low | medium | high | level of confidence |
| | | | | | X | |

acomm15. Comments:

In Polish freshwaters where the racer goby occurs, there are no native species closely related to this species (from *Gobiidae* family). No cases of hybridization between the racer goby and native fish species have been recorded.

a16. The effect of *the species* on native species by hosting pathogens or parasites that are harmful to them is:

| X | very low low medium high very high | 1 | | | | |
|----------|--|--|--|--|--|---|
| acor | nf12. | Answer provided with a | low | medium X | high | level of confidence |
| acomm16. | | Comments: The parasitic fauna of the typical for these waters, w There are no studies implie parasites within fish group the Włocławek Reservoir (gobies affects the proport were numerous in non-na- the racer goby, they have some parasites in the r <i>Holostephanus spp.</i> (Kvach the transfer of cosmopolita (e.g., European bullhead, s impact of this species has Harmionia ^{+PL} . Racer gobies al. 2011, Mierzejewska i in. considered a species specifi | e racer goby i hich occur in citly indicating s in occupied (Mierzejewska ions of paras tive species). become a fac reservoir: Apo i Mierzejewsl an parasites b stone loach (been determ are a host fo . 2014 – P), a ic to the goby | n newly occu native fish spe the contribut habitats. It is et al. 2014 - ites in a speci In this case the tor supporting atemon graci (a 2011, Mierz y the racer go <i>Cobitis taenia</i>) ined as big in r fluke <i>Gyroda</i> species new to family (<i>Gobic</i>) | pied waters of ecies (Mierzej tion of the rac noted that, ir - P), the pres fic area (rare ne non-native the developr <i>lis, Bucephal</i> tejewska et al oby in Poland by in Poland by bitterling (<i>F</i> accordance to Poland. Alth <i>lae</i>), monitori | consists mostly of taxa ewska et al. 2014 – P). cer goby in transferring in the case of studies in ence of Ponto-Caspian e parasites in local fish fish species, including ment of populations of <i>us polymorphus</i> , and . 2014 – P). Because of to special care species <i>Rhodeus sericeus</i>)), the with the instruction of <i>orhini</i> (Mierzejewska et nough <i>G. proterorhini</i> is ng has been conducted |
| | | of native fish from the <i>Per</i> relationship of these fish <i>G. proterorhini</i> has been not | <i>ciformes</i> orde h with <i>Gobi</i> ot found in nat | r in terms of t <i>idae</i> (Mierzej tive fish specie | :he presence (ewska et al. :s. | of the fluke due to the . 2014 – P). So far, |

a17. The effect of *the species* on ecosystem integrity, by affecting its abiotic properties is:

| X | low mediun high | n | | | | |
|------|-----------------------|---|---|--|--|---|
| acon | f13. | Answer provided with a | low | medium X | high | level of confidence |
| acon | nm17. | Comments: There are no premises ind ecosystem. Specimens of t silt in their muzzle and tran bring about, at most, easi classified as special care on | dicating that this species c asporting it to ily reversible es. | the racer goby an dig shelters another place changes in the | / can distur in the bott (Kakareko 2 e bottom s | b abiotic factors of the om, collecting sand and 2013 – A). This is likely to tructure in habitats not |

a18. The effect of *the species* on ecosystem integrity, by **affecting its biotic properties** is:

| Х | low |
|---|--------|
| | medium |
| | high |

| aconf14. | Answer provided with a | low | medium X | high | level of confidence |
|----------|---|--|--|---|--|
| acomm18. | Comments: The racer goby is a link of the prey for piscivorous f habitats. However, the kno Because this species does factors of the ecosystem. | the food web, ish. Potential wledge of the not occur in | because it fee ly, it can com impact of this very large nun | eds mainly on pete with ot species on ot nbers, it is ur | invertebrates, and it is ther fish for food and her organisms is small. nlikely to disturb biotic |

A4b | Impact on the cultivated plants domain

Questions from this module qualify the consequences of *the species* for cultivated plants (e.g. crops, pastures, horticultural stock).

For the questions from this module, consequence is considered 'low' when presence of *the species* in (or on) a population of target plants is sporadic and/or causes little damage. Harm is considered 'medium' when *the organism's* development causes local yield (or plant) losses below 20%, and 'high' when losses range >20%.

a19. The effect of *the species* on cultivated plant targets through **herbivory or parasitism** is:

| X | inapplica very low low medium high very high | n | | | | |
|------|---|--|-----------|--------|------|---------------------|
| acor | ıf15. | Answer provided with a | low | medium | high | level of confidence |
| acon | nm19. | Comments: This species in entirely carr | nivorous. | | | |

a20. The effect of *the species* on cultivated plant targets through competition is:

| X | inapplic very low low medium high very hig | able / h | | | | |
|------|---|------------------------|-----|--------|------|---------------------|
| acor | nf16. | Answer provided with a | low | medium | high | level of confidence |
| acor | nm20. | Comments: | | | | a |

This species is not a plant.

a21. The effect of *the species* on cultivated plant targets through **interbreeding** with related species, including the plants themselves is:

| Х | inapplicable | | | | |
|---|---------------|--|--|--|--|
| | no / very low | | | | |
| | low | | | | |
| | medium | | | | |
| | high | | | | |
| | very high | | | | |

| aconf17. | Answer provided with a | low | medium | high | level of confidence |
|----------|------------------------------|-----|--------|------|---------------------|
| acomm21. | Comments: | | | | |
| | This species is not a plant. | | | | |

a22. The effect of the species on cultivated plant targets by affecting the cultivation system's integrity is:

| X | very low low medium high very higl | n | | | | |
|------|--|---|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| acor | nf18. | Answer provided with a | low | medium | high X | level of confidence |
| acor | nm22. | Comments: | | | | |
| | | There are no premises inc cultivations. It is a freshwat | licating that er fish, and if | the racer goby t does not affect | <pre>can distur t plant cultiv</pre> | b the integrity of plant vations. |

a23. The effect of *the species* on cultivated plant targets by hosting **pathogens or parasites** that are harmful to them is:

| X | very low low medium high very high | 1 | | | | |
|------|--|--|----------------|------------------|-------------|-------------------------|
| acor | nf19. | Answer provided with a | low | medium | high X | level of confidence |
| acor | nm23. | Comments: | | | | |
| | | There are no premises indic parasites harmful to plants. | cating that tl | ne racer goby is | a host or v | ector for pathogens and |

A4c | Impact on the domesticated animals domain

Questions from this module qualify the consequences of *the organism* on domesticated animals (e.g. production animals, companion animals). It deals with both the well-being of individual animals and the productivity of animal populations.

a24. The effect of *the species* on individual animal health or animal production, through **predation or parasitism** is:

| X | inapplica very low low medium high very high | n | | | | |
|-------|---|--|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|---|
| aconf | f20. | Answer provided with a | low | medium | high X | level of confidence |
| acom | ım24. | Comments: | | | | |
| | | The racer goby is not a typ that the impact of this s | pical predato pecies, inclue | r or parasite of ding eating fish | farm anima eggs and/ | als. There is no evidence or larvae, is significant. |

A very small fraction of these components was recorded in the diet of the racer goby in the Włocławski Reservoir and downstream the Vistula River (Grabowska – 2005, Kakareko et al. – 2005). In the Dnieper Reservoir, fish are a considerable portion of the diet in large specimens of the monkey goby, but these have been nearly exclusively gobies (Didenko et al. 2017 - P).

a25. The effect of *the species* on individual animal health or animal production, by having properties that are hazardous upon **contact**, is:

| X | very low low medium high very high | 1 | | | | |
|------|--|---|--|--|--|---|
| acor | ıf21. | Answer provided with a | low | medium | high X | level of confidence |
| acor | nm25. | Comments: Experimental studies have negative impact on the Eu P). The racer goby shows the European bullhead and same body size or smaller bred species or those com occurring together with t fluviatilis) and roach (Rutile | shown that the ropean bullhe aggressive be d specimens of r). There is no nmercially cau he racer gob us rutilus), wh | he racer goby i ead (Kakareko e haviour (attack f this species in p information ught in the wil y in the enviro ich are potenti | s an aggress et al. 2013, C k, biting, and tself (in relat on similar be d. Young spe onment are ally exposed | ive species, and it has a Grabowska et al. 2016 - I threatening) regarding ion to specimens of the ehaviours in relation to ecimens (fry) of species European perch (<i>Perco</i> to preying. |

a26. The effect of *the species* on individual animal health or animal production, by hosting **pathogens or parasites** that are harmful to them, is:



A4d | Impact on the human domain

Questions from this module qualify the consequences of *the organism* on humans. It deals with human health, being defined as a state of complete physical, mental and social well-being and not merely the absence of disease or infirmity (definition adopted from the World Health Organization).

a27. The effect of *the species* on human health through **parasitism** is:

| X | inapplica very low low medium high vert high | able | | | | |
|-----|---|--|-----|--------|------|---------------------|
| aco | onf23. | Answer provided with a | low | medium | high | level of confidence |
| ac | omm 27 . | Comments: This species is not a parasit | te. | · | · | |

a28. The effect of *the species* on human health, by having properties that are hazardous upon **contact**, is:

| X | very low low medium high very high | ı | | | | |
|------|---|------------------------|-----|--------|-----------|--|
| acor | nf24. | Answer provided with a | low | medium | high X | level of confidence |
| acor | nm28. | Comments: | | | | |
| | There are no premises to judge that the racer goby can affect human health due to properties which pose a hazard during direct contact. It reaches the length of 16 (FishBase 2018 – B), has no quills or venom glands, and it is totally harmless in contact w | | | | | uman health due to its is the length of 16 cm narmless in contact with |

humans.

a29. The effect of *the species* on human health, by hosting **pathogens or parasites** that are harmful to humans, is:

| X | inapplica very low low medium high | ible | | | | |
|------|--|--|--|---|--|--|
| | very high | 1 | | 1 | | |
| acor | nf25. | Answer provided with a | low | medium X | high | level of confidence |
| acor | nm29. | Comments: | | | | |
| | | Nematode <i>Eustrongylides</i> humans when they consur- et al. 2013, Ljubojevic et a racer goby specimens in th with the nematode is tre humans in the form of larv removed only by surgery (E | excisus has me raw or un al. 2015, Bran he Włocławsk eatable. Nem ae, and it elic Bjelic-Cabrilo o | been found in idercooked (the ciari et al. 2016 ki Reservoir (Mi hatode of <i>Eustr</i> its gastritis and et al. 2013 – P). | the racer ermal treatm 5 – P). <i>E. ex</i> erzejewska rongylides e intestinal po | goby, which can infest nent) fish (Bjelic-Cabrilo <i>cisus</i> has been found in et al. 2014). Infestation <i>excisus</i> genus occurs in erforation; larvae can be |

A4e | Impact on other domains

Questions from this module qualify the consequences of *the species* on targets not considered in modules A4a-d.

a30. The effect of *the species* on causing damage to infrastructure is:

| X | very low low medium high very high | ı | | | | | |
|------|--|--|-----|--------|-----------|---------------------|--|
| асон | nf26. | Answer provided with a | low | medium | high X | level of confidence | |
| acor | mm30. | Comments: | | | | | |
| | | There are no premises that the racer goby can affect infrastructure. | | | | | |

A5a | Impact on ecosystem services

Questions from this module qualify the consequences of *the organism* on ecosystem services. Ecosystem services are classified according to the Common International Classification of Ecosystem Services, which also includes many examples (CICES Version 4.3). Note that the answers to these questions are not used in the calculation of the overall risk score (which deals with ecosystems in a different way), but can be considered when decisions are made about management of *the species*.

a31. The effect of *the species* on **provisioning services** is:

| | significantly negative moderately negative |
|---|--|
| Х | neutral |
| | moderately positive |
| | significantly positive |

| aconf27. | Answer provided with a | low | medium X | high | level of confidence |
|----------|---|---|--|---|--|
| acomm31. | Comments: | | | | |
| | On one side, the species commercially (fished comm (Płąchocki et al. 2012 – P), depleting food resources contributing to the develor weight of the positive an | may positive mercially by f but at the sa (invertebrates) pment of a p nd negative i | ely affect fish fishermen), be me time, it ca s) and as the arasite popul- mpacts of th | breeding in ecause it is found have adverse vector for ation. As it is is species or | the wild and caught ood for predatory fish se effects as a result of parasites or a factor difficult to assess the n supply services, the |

a32. The effect of *the species* on regulation and maintenance services is:

cumulated effect has been considered neutral.

| X | significa moderat neutral moderat significa | ntly negative cely negative cely positive ntly positive | | | | |
|------|---|--|-----|--------|-----------|---------------------|
| acor | nf28. | Answer provided with a | low | medium | high X | level of confidence |

acomm32. Comments:

This species is an important link of the food web (it is bentophag and at the same time it is prey for piscivorous fish). There is no evidence that it can disturb abiotic or biotic factors of the ecosystem. It transfers parasites, which can control zoonotic diseases, but assessment of the scale of this impact is difficult.

a33. The effect of *the species* on **cultural services** is:

| X | significat moderat neutral moderat significat | ntly negative rely negative rely positive ntly positive | | | | |
|------|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| acor | nf29. | Answer provided with a | low | medium X | high | level of confidence |
| acor | nm33. | Comments: | | | | |
| | | This species can have an eff food for predatory fish (Pła it depletes food resources development of a parasite and negative impacts of the considered neutral. | fect on recrea achocki et al. (invertebrates population. A his species of | ational angling i 2012 – P); and, s), and as parasi As it is difficult 1 n cultural servic | n two ways simultaneo te vector or to assess th ces, the cur | : positively, because it is usly, negatively, because r a factor supporting the e weight of the positive nulated effect has been |

<u>A5b</u> | Effect of climate change on the risk assessment of the negative impact of the species

Below, each of the Harmonia^{+PL} modules is revisited under the premise of the future climate. The proposed time horizon is the mid-21st century. We suggest taking into account the reports of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Specifically, the expected changes in atmospheric variables listed in its 2013 report on the physical science basis may be used for this purpose. The global temperature is expected to rise by 1 to 2°C by 2046-2065.

Note that the answers to these questions are not used in the calculation of the overall risk score, but can be but can be considered when decisions are made about management of *the species*.

a34. INTRODUCTION – Due to climate change, the probability for *the species* to overcome geographical barriers and – if applicable – subsequent barriers of captivity or cultivation in Poland will:

| X | decrease decrease not chai increase increase | e significantly e moderately nge e moderately e significantly | | | | |
|-----|--|---|-----|--------|-----------|---------------------|
| aco | nf30. | Answer provided with a | low | medium | high X | level of confidence |
| aco | mm34. | Comments: | | | | |

There are no premises to judge that climate changes will affect overcoming geographical barriers by the racer goby. It is a species already introduced and established in Poland (Danilkiewicz 1996, Kostrzewa and Grabowski 2001 – P, Płąchocki 2017 – I).

a35. ESTABLISHMENT – Due to climate change, the probability for *the species* to overcome barriers that have prevented its survival and reproduction in Poland will:

decrease significantly decrease moderately

| X | not char increase increase | nge moderately significantly | | | | |
|-----|----------------------------------|---|---------------|-------------------|---------------|---------------------------|
| aco | nf31. | Answer provided with a | low | medium | high X | level of confidence |
| асо | mm35. | Comments: The racer goby is a species climate changes | established i | in Poland. This s | ituation is ι | inlikely to change due to |

a36. SPREAD – Due to climate change, the probability for *the species* to overcome barriers that have prevented its spread in Poland will:

| | decrease significantly |
|---|------------------------|
| | decrease moderately |
| | not change |
| Х | increase moderately |
| | increase significantly |

| aconf32. | Answer provided with a | low | medium | high X | level of confidence |
|----------|---|---|---|---|--|
| acomm36. | Comments: It should be supposed that changes. This species deriv warmer. Thus, climate char total population size. It sho in running water and in lake various areas in Europe, connected with the curren Bíró 2007 – P). | the racer gob ves from the nges should in ould also favor es. Some auth similarly to o tly observed i | by will spread Ponto-Caspian ncrease mode r the establish nors think that other gobies f increase in me | even more in n region whe rately fertility ment of new the rapid inv from the san an annual ter | Poland, due to climate re the climate is a bit , survival rate, and the waters by this species, rasion of this species in ne Eurasian region, is mperatures (Harka and |

a37. IMPACT ON THE ENVIRONMENTAL DOMAIN – Due to climate change, the consequences of *the species* on wild animals and plants, habitats and ecosystems in Poland will:

| X | decrease decrease not char increase increase | e significantly e moderately nge moderately significantly | | | | |
|------|--|--|---|---|--|--|
| acol | nf33. | Answer provided with a | low | medium | high X | level of confidence |
| acol | mm37. | Comments: It should be supposed that in Poland (see pp. a36), an and the scale of environme | t, due to clim d, at the sam ental impact o | nate changes, the ne time, the shar on the natural er | e racer gob e of this sp wironment | y will spread even more ecies in fish populations will increase. |

a38. IMPACT ON THE CULTIVATED PLANTS DOMAIN – Due to climate change, the consequences of *the species* on cultivated plants and plant domain in Poland will:



| aconf34. | Answer provided with a | low | medium | high X | level of confidence |
|----------|---------------------------|--------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------------|
| acomm38. | Comments: | | | | |
| | This species is a freshwa | ter species, | exclusively ca | arnivorous. It | does not affect plant |

a39. IMPACT ON THE DOMESTICATED ANIMALS DOMAIN – Due to climate change, the consequences of *the species* on domesticated animals and animal production in Poland will:

cultivations.

| de de no | ecrease ecrease ot chan | significantly moderately ge | | | | |
|----------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------|----------------|-------------|-------------------------|
| X in in | ncrease | moderately significantly | | | | |
| aconf3 | 5. | Answer provided with a | low | medium X | high | level of confidence |
| acomm | n39. | Comments: | t due to clim | ate changes th | e racer gob | y will spread even more |

It should be supposed that, due to climate changes, the racer goby will spread even more in Poland (see pp. a36), and, at the same time, it should be expected that the impact of this species on fish farming will also increase, while this regards mainly running waters and fish in the wild caught commercially by fishermen. The racer goby is found rarely in standing waters (in lakes) (Kakareko 2018b, author's observations – A).

a40. IMPACT ON THE HUMAN DOMAIN – Due to climate change, the consequences of *the species* on human in Poland will:

| X | decrease decrease not char increase increase | e significantly e moderately nge moderately significantly | | | | |
|------|--|---|-----|--------------------|------|---------------------|
| acor | nf36. | Answer provided with a | low | medium X | high | level of confidence |
| acor | nm40. | Comments: | | | | |

It should be supposed that due to climate changes the racer goby will spread even more in Poland (see pp. a36) (see p. a36), and it is expected that the risk of transferring parasites harmful to humans (*Eustrongylides excisus*) will increase.

a41. IMPACT ON OTHER DOMAINS – Due to climate change, the consequences of *the species* on other domains in Poland will:

| | decrease | e significantly | | | | |
|------|----------|---------------------------|---------------|----------------|------------|------------------------|
| | decrease | e moderately | | | | |
| Х | not chan | ige | | | | |
| | increase | moderately | | | | |
| | increase | significantly | | | | |
| acor | nf37. | Answer provided with a | low | medium | high X | level of confidence |
| acor | nm41. | Comments: | | | | |
| | | There are no premises inc | dicating that | the racer goby | can affect | other domains and this |

There are no premises indicating that the racer goby can affect other domains and this situation will change due to climate changes.

Summary

| Module | Score | Confidence |
|--|-------------------|-------------------|
| Introduction (questions: a06-a08) | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Establishment (questions: a09-a10) | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Spread (questions: a11-a12) | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Environmental impact (questions: a13-a18) | 0.38 | 0.58 |
| Cultivated plants impact (questions: a19-a23) | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| Domesticated animals impact (questions: a24-a26) | 0.25 | 0.83 |
| Human impact (questions: a27-a29) | 0.25 | 0.75 |
| Other impact (questions: a30) | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| Invasion (questions: a06-a12) | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Impact (questions: a13-a30) | 0.38 | 0.83 |
| Overall risk score | 0.38 | |
| Category of invasiveness | potentially invas | ive alien species |

A6 | Comments

This assessment is based on information available at the time of its completion. It has to be taken into account. However, that biological invasions are, by definition, very dynamic and unpredictable. This unpredictability includes assessing the consequences of introductions of new alien species and detecting their negative impact. As a result, the assessment of the species may change in time. For this reason it is recommended that it regularly repeated.

acomm42. Comments: In the risk assessment for Poland, the racer goby has reached a high score (1.00) for modules related to the invasion process (questions: a06-a12). In Poland, this species is still in the expansion phase, and its main spreading routes are the Bug and Vistula Rivers, where it forms numerous populations in favourable habitat conditions. There is a real threat of the further spreading of this species in Poland, especially in running waters. The species has been classified as a minimally invasive species. The highest score for the adverse impact of this species (0.38) has been shown for module: Impact on the natural environment (questions: a13-a18). Lower values (0.25) was shown for the following modules: The effect of the Species on individual animal health and production (questions: a24-a26), Impact on human domain (questions: a27-a29). The lowest value (0.00) was shown for modules: Cultivated plants impact (questions: a19-a23), The effect of the Species on causing damage to infrastructure (question: a30). These are values considerably lower than the boundary for the classification of non-native species as medium invasive (0.51). However, it should be noted that the assessment of the negative impact of the racer goby was carried out with the lower degree of certainty (0.58-1.00) in comparison to the assessment of the invasion process (1.00). It results from the fact that the knowledge of the impact of this species on biota and inanimate elements of the ecosystem is low. Thus, in the future this assessment can be changed as the knowledge in this field grows.

Data sources

1. Published results of scientific research (P)

Bjelic-Cabrilo O, Novakov N, Cirkovic M, Kostic D, Popovic E, Aleksic N, Lujic J. 2013. The first determination of *Eustrongylides excisus* Jägerskiöld, 1909 - larvae (Nematoda: Dioctophymatidae) in the pike-perch Sander lucioperca in Vojvodina (Serbia). Helminthologia 50: 291-294

Branciari R, Ranucci D, Miraglia D, Valiani A, Veronesi F, Urbani E, Lo Vaglio G, Pasucci L, Franceschini R. 2016 Occurrence of parasites of the genus *Eustrongylides* spp. (Nematoda: Dioctophymatidae) in fish caught in Trasimeno lake, Italy. Italian Journal of Food Safety 5: 14

Danilkiewicz Z. 1996. Babka łysa (gołogłowa), *Neogobius gymnotrachelus* (Kessler, 1857) Perciformes, Gobiidae – nowy gatunek w ichtiofaunie zlewiska Morza Baltyckiego. Komunikaty Rybackie 2: 27-29

Didenko A, Kruzhylina S, Nazarov A. 2017. Diel feeding activity and resource partitioning of two sympatric gobiids in the Dniprodzerzhynsk Reservoir. Limnologica 63: 74-82

Grabowska J. 2005 Diel-feeding activity in early summer of racer goby *Neogobius gymnotrachelus* (Gobiidae): a new invader in the Baltic basin. Journal of Applied Ichthyology 21(4): 282-286.

Grabowska J, Kakareko T, Błońska D, Przybylski M, Kobak J, Copp GH. 2016. Interspecific competition for a shelter between non-native racer goby and native European bullhead under experimental conditions-Effects of season, fish size and light conditions. Limnologica 56: 30-38

Harka Á, Bíró P. 2007. New patterns in Danubian distribution of Ponto-Caspian gobies – A result of global climate change and/or canalization? Electronic Journal of Ichthyology 1: 1-14

Janáč M, Roche K, Šlapanský L, Polačik M, Jurajda P. 2018. Long-term monitoring of native bullhead and invasive gobiids in the Danubian rip-rap zone. Hydrobiologia 807: 263-275

Kakareko T. 2011. Wpływ wybranych czynników na rozmieszczenie i preferencje siedliskowe babki łysej (*Neogobius Gymnotrachelus* Kessler, 1857) i babki szczupłej (*Neogobius Fluviatilis* Pallas, 1811), obcych gatunków ryb w Polsce. Wydawnictwo Naukowe Uniwersytetu Mikołaja Kopernika

Kakareko T, Kobak J, Grabowska J, Jermacz Ł, Przybylski M, Poznańska M, Pietraszewski D. 2013. Competitive interactions for food resources between invasive racer goby *Babka gymnotrachelus* and native European bullhead *Cottus gobio*. Biological Invasions 15: 2519-2530

Kakareko T, Kobak J, Poznańska M, Jermacz Ł, Copp GH. 2016. Underwater evaluation of habitat partitioning in a European river between a non-native invader, the racer goby and a threatened native fish, the European bullhead. Ecology of Freshwater Fish 25: 60-71

Kakareko T, Płąchocki D, Kobak J. 2009. Relative abundance of Ponto-Caspian gobiids in the lower Vistula River (Poland) 3- to 4 years after first appearance. Journal of Applied Ichthyology 25: 647-651

Kakareko T, Żbikowski J, Żytkowicz J. 2005. Diet partitioning in summer of two syntopic neogobiids from two different habitats of the lower Vistula River, Poland Journal of Applied Ichthyology 21: 292-295

Kostrzewa J, Grabowski M. 2001. Babka łysa (gołogłowa), *Neogobius gymnotrachelus* (Kessler, 1857) (Gobiidae, Perciformes) – nowy gatunek ryby w Wiśle. Przegląd Zoologiczny XLV: 101-102

Kostrzewa J, Grabowski M. 2003. Opportunistic feeding strategy as a factor promoting the expansion of racer goby (*Neogobius gymnotrachelus* Kessler, 1857) in the Vistula basin. Lauterbornia 48: 91-100

Kvach Y. Mierzejewska K. 2011. Non-indigenous benthic fishes as new hosts for *Bucephalus polymorphus* Baer, 1827 (Digenea: Bucephalidae) in the Vistula River basin, Poland. Knowledge and Management of Aquatic Ecosystems 400, 02

Ljubojevic D, Novakov N, Djordjevic V, Radosavljevic V, Pelic M, Cirkovic M. 2015. Potential Parasitic Hazards for Humans in Fish Meat. Italian Oral Surgery 5: 172-175

Mierzejewska K, Kvach Y, Stańczak K, Grabowska J, Woźniak M, Dziekońska-Rynko J, Ovcharenko M. 2014. Parasites of non-native gobies in the Włocławek Reservoir on the lower Vistula River, first comprehensive study in Poland. Knowledge and Management of Aquatic Ecosystems 414, 01

Mierzejewska K, Martyniak A, Kakareko T, Dzika E, Stańczak K, Hliwa P. 2011. *Gyrodactylus proterorhini* Ergens, 1967 (Monogenoidea, Gyrodactylidae) in gobiids from the Vistula River-the first record of the parasite in Poland. Parasitology Research 108: 1147-1151

Pinchuk VI, Vasileva ED, Vasilev V., Miller PJ. 2003. *Neogobius gymnotrachelus* (Kessler, 1857). In: Miller PJ. (ed.). The freshwater fishes of Europe vol. 8/I Mugilidae, Atherini- dae, Atherinopsidae, Blenniidae, Odontobutidae, Gobiidae 1. pp. 265-279. Wiesbaden (Aula-Verlag)

Płąchocki D, Kobak J, Kakareko T. 2012. First report on the importance of alien gobiids in the diet of native piscivorous fishes in the lower Vistula River (Poland). Oceanological and Hydrobiological Studies 41: 83-89

Roche KF, Janač M, Jurajda P. 2013. A review of Gobiid expansion along the Danube-Rhine corridor – geopolitical change as a driver for invasion. Knowledge and Management of Aquatic Ecosystems 411, 01

Van Kessel N, Dorenbosch M, Kranenbarg J, van der Velde G, Leuven RSEW. 2016. Invasive Ponto-Caspian gobies rapidly reduce the abundance of protected native bullhead. Aquatic Invasions 11(2): 179-188

Wiesner C. 2005. New records of non-indigenous gobies (*Neogobius* spp.) in the Austrian Danube. Journal of Applied Ichthyology 21: 324-327

Wiśniewolski W., Borzęcka I., Buras P., Szlakowski J., Woźniewski M. 2000 Ichthyofauna of the lower and middle Vistula River – status and threats. Roczniki Naukowe PZW 14: 137-155

2. Databases (B)

Alien species in Poland 2018. *Neogobius gymnotrachelus* (Kessler, 1857) - Babka łysa - Goad goby (Ryba) (www.iop.krakow.pl/ias/gatunki/214) Date of access: 2018-01-31

FishBase 2018. *Babka gymnotrachelus* (Kessler, 1857) Racer goby (www.fishbase.org/summary/16309) Date of access: 2008-01-31

3. Unpublished data (N)

4. Other (I)

Council Directive 92/43/EEC of 21 May 1992 on the conservation of natural habitats and of wild fauna and flora (Official Journal of the European Union L 206. 22.7.1992)

Gawroński M. 2004. Zmiennośc dobowa w odżywianiu się babek szczupłych (*Neogobius fluviatilis* Pallas, 1811) i babek łysych (*Neogobius gymnotrachelus* Kessler, 1857) w Wiśle na wysokości Torunia. Praca magisterska. Zakład Hydrobiologii, Uniwersytet Mikołaja Kopernika. Toruń

Ordinance of the Minister of Environment of 16 December 2016 on the protection of animal species (Journal of Laws 2016, item 2183)

Płąchocki D. 2017. Preferencje siedliskowe i rozmieszczenie babki rurkonosej *Proterorhinus semilunaris* (Heckel, 1837) w płytkowodnych środowiskach dolnej Wisły. Praca doktorska. Uniwersytet Mikołaja Kopernika w Toruniu

5. Author's own data (A)

Kakareko T. 2013. Underwater observation of Gobiidae in the Brda River

Kakareko T. 2018a. Fishing in Wisła River. Own observations and interviews with anglers

Kakareko T. 2018b. Occurrence of racer goby *Neogobius gymnotrachelus* in the Białe Lake (Gostynin county) and Orłowski Lake (Lipno county)